# Relevant Documents from '073 Application



Enzo Biochem. Inc. 575 Fifth Avenue New York. NY 10017 212.856.0876

Fax: 212.856.0878

## VIA FACSIMILE & FEDERAL EXPRESS

703-415-1618

March 11, 1993

Cantwell & Paxton, Inc.
Suite 1003
2001 Jefferson Davis Highway
Arlington, VA 22202

Attention:

Mmes. Pat Paxton and Beverly Floyd

RE: U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 07/869,073

Filed: April 10, 1992

Inventor: Pravinkumar B. Sehgal

Title: Species of Interferon, A Process for Their Preparation and Species of Interferon Prepared Thereby

Our Reference: Enz-2 (C3)

Dear Pat and Beverly:

Could you let me know, preferably by facsimile confirmation, the status of the above-identified U.S. application which was re-filed last April? We have received no correspondence except for the Filing Receipt issued in June 1992. For your use in making this inquiry, we have enclosed an executed Power to Inspect and Make Copies.

In addition, could you please file the following documents in connection with this application:

- 1. Change of Correspondence Request;
- 2. Request to Correct Filing Receipt; and
- 3. Revocation of Previous Powers of Attorney and Appointment of New Power of Attorney.

Mmes. Pat Paxton Beverly Floyd Cantwell & Paxton March 11, 1993
Page 2

A return postcard is also enclosed in connection with the above documents. If possible, please have the clerk of Group 1800 (or other authorized Patent Office personnel) stamp the postcards. You may return the stamped postcards to us when you send me a paid receipt for this service, for which my personal check no. 1356 for \$25.00 is enclosed. Please let me know if this amount is sufficient.

We look forward to receipt of the status information and the stamped return postcards.

Cordially,

Ronald C. Fedus Patent Counsel

**Enclosures** 

## **MEMORANDUM**

To:

File

From:

Ronald C. Fedus

Date:

March 16, 1993

Re:

Enz-2 (C3) [Interferon et al.]

Enz-26 (C2) [Novel One Step Method et al.] Enz-7 (C2)(P)(C2) [Soluble Assay et al.]

Mrs. Pat Paxton of Cantwell & Paxton, our Washington associates telephoned me today to inform me that the interferon application [Enz-2 (C3)] was presently in the hands of Examiner Shelly Guest. The papers effecting changes in power and correspondence address had been filed by Pat.

With respect to the two other cases, Enz-26 (C2) and Enz-7 (C2)(P)(C2), Pat indicated that these applications were in the hands of the petitions examiner(s) who should act upon them in due course.





Enzo Blochem, Inc. 575 Fifth Avenue New York. NY 10017 212.856.0876 Fax: 212.856.0878

March 17, 1993

Dr. Pravinkumar B. Sehgal Department of Microbiology New York Medical College Basic Sciences Building Valhalla, New York 10595

Re: U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 07/869,073

Filed April 10, 1992 as a continuation of Serial No. 07/191,517, filed May 6, 1988

Inventor: Pravinkumar B. Sehgal

For: SPECIES OF INTERFERON, A PROCESS FOR THEIR

PREPARATION AND SPECIES OF INTERFERON PREPARED THEREBY

Our Reference No.: Enz-2 (C3)

Dear Dr. Sehgal:

Further to our letter of last week, we have just been informed by our Washington associates that the new continuation application, above-identified, is presently in the hands of the patent examiner who handled the previous application.

We expect to receive a communication from the examiner in the next few weeks and will immediately apprise you when it is received.

Cordially,

Ronald C. Fedus

Corporation & Patent Counsel



## UNITED STAT .... DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Weshington, D.C. 20231

	SI	ENIAL NUMBER	FRING DATE	FIRST	NAMED INVENTOR	A	TIORNEY DOCKET NO.
•		07/869,073	04/10/9	2 SEHGAL		F' EX	ENZ-2
						GUEST,S	
		ELAINE P. ENZO BICCH	EM, INC.	•	18M1	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
~		60 EXECUTI FARMINGDAL		RD 5	EIVER	<b>#</b> 1812	22
<b>1</b> 3		Centralitation abecia filosola filoso		A win still dots the str	18M1 CEIVED OC. FEDUS	DATE MAILED:	03/18/93
**(	<b>14 1</b> 15	SERREP OF FAHARS	CARAM KIAM CHA	:	". FEDUS	•	
	re to	respond within the p	or response to this a eriod for response v	Responsive to comminction is set to expire	month(	e), Ø days	This action is made final.
1. 3. 5.		Notice of Art Cited	es Cited by Examine by Applicant, PTO- to Effect Drawing (			atent Drawing, PTO-9 formal Patent Applica	
Part (	•	SUMMARY OF AC	TION				
1.	Ż	Claims	12,1	8 ,23-26			re pending in the application.
	٠.	Of the above	, claims	S-17 10 -		are with the speak	indrawn from consideration.
2.	[2]	Claims	1-11 / 5	, - 1 7 , 19 -	2-,61-	<del>-1</del> 0 1	hava haan senselisel

## CONFIDENTIAL & PRIVILEGED

## **MEMORANDUM**

To:

Drs. Elazar Rabbani & Dean Engelhardt

From:

Ronald C. Fedus

Date:

March 23, 1993

Re:

3.

4.

5.

Enz-2 (C3) [Interferon et al.]

After almost one year after receiving the Filing Receipt for this application, we received the attached Office Action that was issued on March 18, 1993.

In general, the Office Action contained a number of issues which were raised in the previous application. These include:

1. lack of patentable utility (§101) page 2

2. lack of enabling disclosure

(§112, 1st ¶) pages 2-3 indefiniteness (§112, 2nd  $\P$ ) pages 3-4 anticipation (§102(a) & (f)) pages 5-6 obviousness (§103) pages 7-8

At the outset, I would suggest that we confer on the claims which are pending in this application for the purpose of determining their suitability in terms of language and scope.

As far as the issues raised in the Office Action, I think that the indefiniteness issue (§112, 2nd ¶) can be easily handled by minor amendments to the claims. The anticipation rejection by Weissenbach et al. can also be easily handled by a so-called Rule 131 Declaration to swear behind the reference. I have some laboratory notebook pages from Dr. Pravinkumar Sehgal and will probably need some input from the inventor in order to draft and submit a proper declaration. With respect to the anticipation rejection under §102(f), this is an issue which can likewise handled by a declaration in the name of Dr. Sehgal as the sole inventor.

Please let me know as soon as you have had an opportunity to review the Office Action. I would like to schedule an appointment with Dr. Sehgal in the coming weeks so that we can prepare a substantial response to overcome all of the remaining issues.

Р	10	Ko	٠,	<u> </u>						 9	2		IME			AM ₽ <b>M</b> :
НО	oli Oli	Ðh.	U	4.	(	les	m	a 1	ب	 AREA NO.	000	03	3	,	ر بــر	
NE	M		ut 7		t G	, T		سر، 2-7	3	 EXT	3	308	- 3		5 4	
M	ESS	الع	5	, C	. 3					 				<u> </u>		
E M O	A G E	ļ <u>-</u>							-	 <del></del> —						
U		ONED	7.79	ALL BACK	 -11	RE FUR	NED [	7 W	ANTS TO	WILL C	ALL [		SIN	11,	JRGENT	

## <u>MEMORANDUM</u>

To:

File

From:

Ronald C. Fedus

Date:

September 24, 1993

Re:

Enz-2 (C3) [Interferon et al.]

On this date I telephoned Examiner Shelly Cermak [formerly Shelly Guest] of Group 1812 [Tel. 703-308-3154] who had telephoned the day before.

Examiner Cermak was inquiring as to whether we had filed a response to the March 18, 1993 Office Action. I informed her that we were preparing to file a response but that the application became unintentionally abandoned. I also indicated to Examiner Cermak that we were taking immediate steps to revive the application, and would be filing a Petition to Revive as well as an appropriate response sometime next month.





COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

<del>-117/045,07</del> 5	والمستوارة		
<del>- 14 y y (</del>	<del></del>		
		. Statement	f <u>EHZ 2 (63)</u>
		7	EXAMINER
BONALS &		18M1/1004	
	FEDUS, CORF PEUTICS, IN	P. PATENT COUNSEL	ART UNIT PAPER NUM
	HOCHEM, INC		26
	AVENUE (187		1015
NEW YORK.	NEW YORK 1	0017 DATEM	AILED:
			10/04/9
		NOTICE OF ABANDONMENT	
This application	is abandoned in vie	w of:	e e
1 X Annilcent's	felkire to respond	to the Office letter, mailed $3/\pi/9$	12
		bandonment which is in compliance with 37 C.F.F	
* *	<del>*</del>	•	
in the Office		the response received	within the period set
4. Applicant's mailing date	s failure to pay the of	ne required issue fee within the statutory period of the Notice of Allowance.	lod of 3 months from the
☐ The Issue	s fee was received (	on	
☐ The issue	s fee has not been i	received in Allowed Files Branch as of	
petition t was unav	the Commissioner : voidable. The petition d, in the amount sp	C. 151, and under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. to accept the delayed payment of the issue feon must be accompanied by the issue fee, unkepecified by 37 C.F.R. 1.17 (i), and a verified should be accompanied.	e if the delay in payment ess it has been previously
	al of the holding of	od the Notice of Allowance, a petition for a new of abandonment may be appropriate in view o	
	88 r	correct the drawings and/or submit new or subjectived in the last Office action.	
☐ The corre	ected and/or substi	itute drawings were received on	•
6. The reason(	s) below.	ı .	<b>:</b>

Roll 1 W/ ROBERT J. HILL, JR. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **GROUP 1800** 



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SUMA MOMENTS THIS CONT. 07/869.073 04/10/92 SEHGAL 15147 - 12 GULST, 5 ELAINE P. BRENNER ENZO BIOCHEM, INC. 60 EXECUTIVE BOULEVARD 22 FARMINGDALE, NY 11735 1812 0.37157963 eri komuntu olumbori elemberi. Otalinje nymilike tam kajaksan  $\square$  Responsive to communication filed on  $4/\omega 1/2$ A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire... .. days from the date of this letter. Fallure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133 THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(8) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: 1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 2. D Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948. ☐ Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. 4. Notice of informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152. ☐ Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. 4 D \_ SUMMARY OF ACTION 19-22 27-40 3. Claims\_ 4. Di Claims \_\_\_\_ 5. Claims\_ 6. Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement. 7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes. 8. 

Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. 8. 

The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on \_ ... Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are acceptable. In not acceptable (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948). 16. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on \_\_\_\_\_\_ has (have) been approved by the examiner. 

disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). 11. 

The proposed drawing correction, filed on \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_, has been 🔲 approved. 🔲 disapproved (see explanation). 12. Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has D been received D not been received been filed in parent application, serial no. ..... \_: filed on 13. 

Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. 14. D Other ONO ENZ-2 LOPOS 6/1// 438Y LOPOS BUCHEM, INC.

EXAMINER'S ACTION

A POULLUAGO, MARMINGDALE, NY 11735

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s): Pravinkumar B. Sehgal )

Serial No.: 07/869,073 ) Group Art Unit: 1812

Filed: April 10, 1992 ) Exam'r: Shelly Guest Cermak

Title: SPECIES OF INTERFERON, A PROCESS FOR THEIR PREPARATION AND SPECIES OF

THEIR PREPARATION AND SPECIES OF INTERFERON PREPARED THEREBY

June 16, 1994 575 Fifth Avenue (18th Floor) New York, New York 10017

## FILED BY EXPRESS MAIL

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

### **Box DAC**

64

Attention:

Office of Deputy Assistant Commissioner

for Patents 2121 Crystal Drive

Crystal Park 2 - Suite 913 Ariington, Virginia 22202

# PETITION TO REVIVE AN UNINTENTIONALLY ABANDONED APPLICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. \$1.137(b)

Dear Sirs:

Applicant submit this Petition to the Commissioner under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. §1.137(b) to revive the above-identified application which was unintentionally abandoned.

The above-identified application became unintentionally abandoned after July 18, 1993, which was the date that a response to a March 18, 1993 Office Action was due. A copy of the Notice of Abandonment issued on October 4, 1993 is attached to this Petition as Exhibit A.

It is hereby requested that this application be revived because it was unintentionally abandoned and there was no intent to abandon the application. Submitted concurrently herewith and attached as Exhibit B is a proposed response in the form of a Request for Continuation Application Under 37 C.F.R. §1.60.

EXPRESS MAIL CERTIFICATE

"Express Mail" Label No EF414878015

Deposit Date

JUNE 16, 1994

I hereby certify that this paper and the attachments herein are being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 CFR 1.00 on the date indicated abovegant is addressed to the Commissione Pagatts and Tradagnarks, Washington DC 2023.

JUNE 16, 1991

Enz-2(C3)

Pravinkumar B. Sehgal Serial No. 07/869,073 Filed: April 10, 1992

Page 2 (Petition to Revive an Unintentionally Abandoned Application Under 37 C.F.R. §1.137(b) - June 16, 1994)

The fee for filing a Petition to Revive an Unintentionally Abandoned Application Under 37 C.F.R. §1.137(b) is \$585.00 for a small entity. Small entity status was previously established by a duly executed Verified Statement (Declaration) Claiming Small Entity Status (37 CFR §§1.9(f) and 1.27(c)) - Small Business Concern, a copy of which accompanies the Continuation Request (Exhibit B). The Patent and Trademark Office is hereby authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 05-1135 for the requisite fee of \$585.00 set forth in 37 C.F.R. §1.17(m). The Patent and Trademark Office is further authorized hereby to charge Deposit Account No. 05-1135 for any other fees required in connection with this Petition and to credit any overpayment thereto.

A duplicate copy of this Petition (but without attached Exhibits A and B) is also submitted herewith.

Favorable action on this Petition is earnestly solicited.

If helpful to the processing of this Petition or the accompanying Continuation Request, the undersigned may be contacted by telephone during the daytime hours at 212-856-0876.

Respectfully submitted,

Ronald C. Fedus

Registration No. 32,567 Attorney for Applicant

ENZO THERAPEUTICS, INC. c/o Enzo Blochem, Inc. 575 Fifth Avenue (18th Floor) New York, New York 10017 Tel. (212) 856-0876



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
ASSISTANT SECRETARY AND COMMISSIONER OF
PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
JLB/JVN/jlb.8

Paper No. 29

Ronald C. Fedus, Corp. Patent Counsel Enzo Therapeutics, Inc. C/O Enzo Biochem, Inc. 575 Fifth Avenue (18th Floor) New York, New York 10017

COPY MAILED

AUG 3 1 1994

DEFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of Pravinkumar B. Sehgal Serial No. 07/869,073 Filed: April 10, 1992 Attorney Docket No. ENZ-2(C3)

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed June 16, 1994, to revive an unintentionally abandoned application.

The petition is granted.

Since this application is being revived for purposes of continuity only and since continuity has been established by this decision reviving the application, the application is again abandoned in favor of the continuing application.

If the continuing application is one filed under 37 CFR 1.60 or 1.62, applicant must file a written notice in the continuing application within ONE MONTH from the mail date of this decision indicating that the present application has been revived for purposes of continuity and, accordingly, that the continuing application complies with the copendency requirements of the rules. The failure to file such a notice may result in the continuing application being inadvertently returned to applicant.

The statement that the "abandonment was unintentional" does not comply with 37 CFR 1.137(b). However, the statement presented will be accepted and construed as meaning that the "delay was unintentional." If this is an incorrect interpretation in view of the new rules, petitioner is required to provide a statement to that effect.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Effective September 20, 1993. See "Changes in Procedures for Revival of Patent Applications and Reinstatemen t of Patents," published at 1154 Official Gazette 4 (September 14, 1993).

The file is being forwarded to Group 1800.

Teffrey V. Nase, Director
Office of Petitions
Office of the Assistant Commissioner
for Patents